
STOCK MARKET FORECASTING TIMING DECISIONS USING HYBRID MECHANISM

Vidushi Parashar

Department of Computer
Science & Engineering
Bhagwant Institute of Technology
Muzaffarnagar

Er. Ankur Bhardwaj

Head, Department of Computer
Science & Engineering
Bhagwant Institute of Technology
Muzaffarnagar

Sachin Chaudhary

Asst. Prof.
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Bhagwant Institute of Technology
Muzaffarnagar

ABSTRACT: Forecasting stock market has been a not easy job for applied researchers owing to environment of facts which is very noisy and time unreliable. However, this suggestion has been featured by several pragmatic experiential studies and a number of researchers have efficiently applied machine learning techniques to forecast stock market. This paper studied stock prediction for the use of investors. It is always true that investors typically obtain loss because of uncertain investment purposes and unsighted assets. This paper proposes a jagged set model, a neural network model, and a hybrid neural network and rough set model to find optimal buy and sell of a share on Dhaka stock exchange. Investigational findings demonstrate that our proposed hybrid model has higher precision than the single rough set model and the neural network model. We believe this paper findings will help stock investors to decide about optimal buy and/or sell time on stock exchange.

1. INTRODUCTION

Today venture in stock market is boost due to its advanced returns. In the most vital monetary markets around the world, trading in share market has achieved notable detection to obtain huge income. As an outcome, any knowledge of possible information concerning price concert of a particular share will wholly guarantee huge earnings in this market. Thus, proper presumption of this market is a key aspect for investors, buyers, sellers, fund managers, policy makers, researchers, applied workers, and many others who are busy in this market. However, in observe, share market prediction has been a complicated task because this market is extremely overstated by many organized political, economic, and even psychological reasons. Hence: it is hard to predict movements of a share market.

Usually, in a stock market, techniques employed to formulate investment choices fall into two broad categories: - Fundamental analysis and Technical analysis.

Fundamental analysis is a complete method that involved real and trustworthy information of a company's financial report, economic conditions. This technique believes that present price depends on its fundamental value, expected return on investment.

Technical analysis simply believes real record of trading and cost in a stock. It is identified that in predicting market progress, about 90% of stock traders use this technique in their investment study.

The main focus of this paper is to forecast India share market movements using the most accepted and newly used RS and ANN forecasting models. The problem studied here is about the stock prediction for investors' usage. We have chosen ANN model of superior ability of knowledge discovery and RS model for powerful rules extraction abilities.

2. PROPOSED PREDICTION MODELS


The RS model and the ANN model have been employed in share market prediction [1, 3, 4]. Based on successful results in applied literature given by RS and ANN in stock market prediction, we have chosen the

following models to predict Indian share market. These are models based on (a) ANN, (b) RS, and (c) hybrid model of ANN and RS (ANN_RS).

2.1. ANN Prediction Model

It is a model (introduced by McCulloch and Pitts [13]) developed for simulating biological nervous systems such as the human brain. It has the following processing functions: receiving inputs, assigning appropriate weight coefficient of inputs, calculating weighted sum of inputs, comparing this sum with some threshold, and finally determining an appropriate output value. Figure 1 presents a basic structure of ANN, which has 1 input layer, two hidden layers (with sufficient no. of neurons), and 1 output layer. Thus, each neuron receives an input P_1 , which is multiplied by weights W_R and bias b_1 to produce the net input as $n = WP + b$, where R represents number of elements in input vector and N represents number of neurons in hidden layers. Passing net input through an activation function produces output of neurons. Usually, sigmoid function $[y = f(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})]$ is used as the activation function. The properties of this function need to mimic nerve cell which either fires or does not fire. Other used activation functions are hard limiter, pure line, transit, logsigmoid, and others. Networks are trained so that a particular input leads to a specific target output

The training algorithm is the standard black propagation (BP), which uses gradient descent (GD) technique to minimize error over all training data. During training, each desired output is compared with actual output and calculates error at output layer. The backward pass is error BP and adjustments of weights. Thus, the network is adjusted based on a comparison of output and target until network output matches target. After training process is completed, network with specified weights can be used for testing a set of data different than those for training. For details, see [7].



2.2. RS Prediction Model (RSPM)

It is developed (introduced by Pawlak [14]) based on mathematical tool to deal with vagueness and uncertainty in classification of objects in a set. In RS, data is organized in a table called decision table,

containing attributes as columns and data elements as rows. The class label is called decision attribute. The rest of attributes are condition attributes. For rows, RST employs notion of indiscernible class, while for columns it employs notion of indiscernible attribute to identify significant attributes. The key idea of this approach lies in the analysis of limits of discernibility. RST defines three regions based on equivalent classes induced by attribute values: lower approximation, upper approximation, and boundary. The lower approximation is concerned with all objects which definitely belong to the set. The upper approximation consists of all objects which probably belong to the set. The boundary is the difference between upper approximation and lower approximation. Based on concept of indiscernibility relation, redundant features can be identified and eliminated to reduce number of features. Thus, RST is suitable for data reduction and very useful as a preprocessing tool. The advantage of rough set is that it does not need any preliminary information about data, for example, probability distribution of data and grade of membership like fuzzy set theory (details see [14]).

Analysis of data by RS can be divided into five steps: constructing information table, identifying indiscernibility relations, finding reducts, and generating rules and finally classification. An information table is in the form of rows and columns that represent original data. The set of indiscernibility relations based on information table are derived using objects with set of features. The upper and lower approximations are used to deal with inconsistent objects that probably belong to the set. The main concern of RST is to find the smallest subset (known as reducts, computed by discernibility matrix) of features without losing any information. Reducts are sets that contain same quality of sorting whole original set of features but possess least features. From reducts, production rules to classify objects are generated by logical statements of type IF-THEN condition. The decision rules are measured by support, length, coverage, and accuracy. The rule support is number of records that fully exhibit the property described by IF-THEN condition. The length is defined as number of conditional elements of IF part. The coverage is defined as proportion of records that are identified by IF or THEN parts. The accuracy measures reliability of rule in THEN parts. If coverage is 1 for a rule, then this rule is known as complete; it means that any objects belong to class while deterministic rules are rules with accuracy equal to 1. The rules are correct with both coverage and accuracy equal to 1. For a detailed report of RS, see [14].

3. DATA, EXPERIMENTATION, AND RESULTS

To assess and validate prediction ability of the RS model, the ANN model, and the hybrid ANN_RS model, daily stock movement of all stocks traded in Indian stock exchange (ISE) and spanning over a period of 8 years (Jan 2009–December 2016) were captured (data source: <http://www.ise.com.bd>). Table 1 represents a sample of the stock's daily movements and Figure 2 shows stock's movements w.r.t. time. We can observe that there has been an increasing trend of the prices up to April 2016. Then, there is a (seems to be) collapse in market observed after that. Certainly, there are some reasons for those changes which could be political, economic, and/or psychological. For details, see <http://www.ise.com.bd>.

Figure 2



Time plots of the stock index.

Date	Total trade	Total volume	Total value in Taka (mn)	Total market cap. in (mn)
06/01/12	79537	56852388	2989.670	2555077.1
06/16/12	36858	27487588	1363.139	2532068.0
06/15/12	44550	34578322	1564.310	2517980.5
06/12/12	41760	29558248	1287.442	2547020.2
06/13/12	51959	36631288	1496.727	2518528.1

Table 1: Daily Indian stock price movement.

Numerical statistical properties of the selected stock index are examined first before applying it to chosen forecasting models and reported in Table 2. We have tabulated selected attributes (MA5, MA12, PROC, RSI, and MACD) used in the creation of RS decision table and inputs to the ANN model in Table 3. These attributes are calculated from the ISE general index. The decision attribute D in this table indicates the future direction of data set and is made using the following rule:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} ((n+1) - i) \text{sign}[\text{index}(i) - \text{index}(0)] \sum_{i=1}^n i, \quad (3)$$

Where $\text{index}(0)$ is today's index and $\text{index}(i)$ is i th index in future. The above equation specifies a range -1 to $+1$ for D . A value of -1 indicates that next day's price is lower than that of current date, 0 indicates no change, and $+1$ indicates that next day's price is higher than that of current date. From raw data, we executed data preparation tasks that resulted in a new information table with conditional attributes $A = (\text{MA5}, \text{MA12}, \text{PROC}, \text{RSI}, \text{and MACD})$ and a decision attribute D .

Table 2

Numerical summaries of stock price.

Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
$1.199e + 003$	$9.901e + 003$	$3.378e + 003$	$1.9197e + 003$	0.8723	2.6224

Table 3

Sample of stock index after postprocessing.

Date	Total trade	Total volume	Total value in Tk (mn)	Total market cap. in Tk (mn)	DSE general index	MA5 (1000)	MA12 (1000)	PROC	RSI	MACD	D
6/3/2012	79024	52834504	2773.02	2582225.4	4855.36	4.71	4.82	-3.694	36.57	-97.68	-1
6/4/2012	98570	64218144	3279.275	2509830.4	4675.98	4.72	4.79	1.410	30.74	-101.5	1
6/5/2012	59303	38597358	1956.071	2537026.4	4741.94	4.74	4.77	1.055	35.86	-98.23	1
6/6/2012	72496	62699058	3079.895	2557495.7	4791.98	4.76	4.75	-0.471	39.82	-90.49	-1
6/7/2012	79537	56802386	2989.67	2551077.1	4769.39	4.77	4.74	-0.916	39.69	-85.19	-1
6/10/2012	36858	27487186	1363.139	2532069	4725.73	4.74	4.73	-0.773	34.80	-83.56	-1

In the next section, we will create RS model, ANN model, and hybrid model of ANN and RS (ANN_RS) based on selected technical indicators.

4.1. RS and ANN Model Building

The ANN_RS hybridizes high generality of ANN and rules extraction ability of RST. Data are divided into 2 parts: training and testing sets. The training set contains 70% of the collected data and testing set contains remaining data.

4.1.1. Evaluation Methods

Confusion matrix is applied to assess performance of observed and predicted classes for selected models. This matrix is a table summarizing the number of true positive (TP) class, false positive (FP) class, false negative (FN) class, and true negative (TN) class. For example, TP means that output of prediction model rises and also that stock price actually rises and so on.

4.1.2. Prediction Model—RS Model

The process of stock market data prediction and analysis is illustrated in the following steps: (i) create efficient indicators based on data, (ii) select training data set and test data set, (iii) place into RS model, (iv) extract trading rules, and (v) apply in real market. The RS analysis of data involved calculation of reducts from data, derivation of rules from reducts, rule evaluation, and prediction processes. The Rosetta Rough Set Toolkit [16] was used to perform reducts and create decision rules. The reducts that were produced from our selected data are shown in Table 4. We used Johnson's reducer algorithm and the equal binning discretized method. Table 5 shows a partial set of generated rules. These obtained rules are used to make prediction systems. From our chosen data, we got a set of 12 reducts. The following is an example of a rule obtained from reduct 1 in Table 4.

Table 4

Generated reducts.

Reduct #	Reduct
1	{MACD, MA5, PROC}
2	{PROC}
3	{PROC, RSI}
4	{MACD, MA5, MA12, PROC}
5	{MA12, PROC, RSI}
6	{MA5, MA12, PROC}
7	{MACD, PROC, RSI}
8	{MA5, PROC, RSI}
9	{MACD, MA5, RSI}
10	{MA5, MA12}
11	{MACD, MA12, PROC, RSI}
12	{MACD, MA5, PROC, RSI}

Table 5

Confusion matrix for the RS model.

Actual	Projected		Accuracy (%)
	Fall (-1)	Rise (+1)	
Fall (-1)	314	125	0.71526195
Rise (+1)	2	511	1.0
Accuracy (%)	1.0	0.803459119	0.866596638

The rule in Algorithm 1 has 3 conditional attributes corresponding to IF part. The rule has a decision of -1 or 1. From this rule we can see that conditional attributes have a support of 194 objects from a total of 952 objects. Of those 194 objects, 122 objects (63%) have a decision value of -1 or 1. We are looking for rules with relatively high support and high precision. Once rules were obtained, testing of each rule ensured that knowledge was accurate. Each rule fired against testing set to verify support, precision, and confidence measures. Comparison between measures was obtained by firing rules against training and testing data is needed to make sure that knowledge is a correct illustration of raw data.

On average, RS model provides 71.52% prediction accuracy of falling stock prices, 80.34% prediction accuracy of rising stock prices, and overall 87% prediction accuracy. Therefore, we can say that this model is 72% useful to predict falling stock and 87% useful to predict rising stock.

4.1.3. Prediction Model—ANN Model

An ANN topology of 9 : 15 : 18 : 1, learning rate 0.01, and momentum factor parameter 0.90 is chosen using error and trial method. We used sigmoid transfer function at input and output layers and trained network by Levenberg Marquardt back-propagation algorithm. The learning rate parameter controls step size in each iteration and momentum parameter avoids getting stuck. Inputs to ANN model are $index_t$, $index_{t-i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$, p is AR order) MA5, MA12, PROC, RSI, and MACD; and the output $index_{t+1}$. We have selected lag order using Akaike Information Criteria (AIC). Other criteria such as BIC, SIC, and others can also be used.

Table 6

Confusion matrix for the ANN model.

Actual	Projected		Accuracy (%)
	Fall (-1)	Rise (+1)	
Fall (-1)	293	106	0.7343358396
Rise (+1)	95	458	0.8282097649
Accuracy (%)	0.755154	0.816399	0.7888655462

The confusion matrix is provided in Table 6. On average, ANN model provides 73.43% prediction accuracy of falling stock prices, 81.63% prediction accuracy of rising stock prices, and overall 78.88% prediction accuracy. It is remarkable that although this model performs better than RS model to predict falling stock prices, it performs poorly for overall prediction. The reason rate of prediction for the class of FN is higher compared to the RS model.

4.1.4. Model Combinations—the ANN_RS Model

Under 4.1.2 and 4.1.3, RS and ANN models are constructed alone as baselines; then, these two models are combined to improve rate of prediction precision. First, an ANN topology of 9 : 12 : 14 : 1, learning rate 0.01, and momentum factor parameter 0.95 is selected by error and trial technique. Then, Rosetta Rough Set Toolkit was used to execute reducts and create decision rules based on predicted index. To observe performance of model, confusion matrix is reported in Table 7. After developing two different baseline models, we see that RS model provides better performances than ANN model. The hybrid model ANN_RS provides the 95.29% prediction accuracy of falling stock prices, 96.33% prediction accuracy of rising stock prices, and overall 97.68% prediction accuracy.

From evaluation among models RS, ANN, and hybrid ANN_RS, we can realize that the hybrid ANN_RS model has better forecasting performances than others. That means that this model has superior average prediction precision. Therefore, according to our study, the hybrid model can be recommended to predict the daily Dhaka stock movements that would guide buyers, sellers, investors, and others when to buy, sell, or hold a share.

5. CONCLUSION

To decide optimal buy and sell time on ISE, a design of the hybrid machine learning model is presented in this paper. The ANN forecasting model and the RS forecasting model are combined to improve rate of prediction precision and to provide decision rules whether to buy, sell, or hold a stock. Results of this proposed hybrid model are compared for the baseline RS model and the ANN model. To enhance efficiency of prediction procedure, the RS equal binning discredited method is used to discredited the data. Then, the RS Johnson's reducer algorithm is applied to find all reducts of data containing minimal subset of attributes. Confusion matrix is used to assess performance of chosen models and classes (fall and rise). The experimental result shows that our proposed hybrid model has 97% accuracy which is higher than the single RS forecasting model and the ANN forecasting model.

REFERENCES

1. Tsai CF, Wang SP. Stock price forecasting by hybrid machine learning techniques. Proceedings of the International Multiconference of Engineers and Computer Scientists; 2009; Hongkong, China.
2. Shamsuddin SM, Jaaman SH, Darus M. Nauru-Rough trading rules for mining Kuala Lumpur composite index. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 2009;28(2):278–286.
3. Yao J, Herbert JP. Financial time-series analysis with rough sets. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*. 2009;9(3):1000–1007.
4. Shen L, Loh HT. Applying rough sets to market timing decisions. *Decision Support Systems*. 2004;37(4):583–597.
5. Jaaman SH, Shamsuddin SM, Yusob B, Ismail M. A predictive model construction applying rough set methodology for Malaysian stock market returns. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*. 2009;30:211–218.
6. Tay FEH, Shen L. Economic and financial prediction using rough sets model. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 2002; 141(3):641–659.
7. Wang Y-F. Mining stock price using fuzzy rough set system. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2003;24(1):13–23.

8. Smolinski TG, Chenoweth DL, Zurada JM. Application of rough sets and neural networks to forecasting university facility and administrative cost recovery. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing (ICAISC '04); June 2004; pp. 538–543.
9. Zhang M, Yao JT. A rough sets based approach to feature selection. Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference of NAFIPS; June 2004; pp. 434–439.
10. Kuncheva LI. Fuzzy rough sets: application to feature selection. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 1992; 51(2):147–153.
11. Yao J, Tan CL, Poh H. Neural networks for technical analysis: a study on KLCI. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*. 1999;221–241.
12. Wong BK, Lai VS, Lam J. A bibliography of neural network business applications research: 1994–1998. *Computers and Operations Research*. 2000;27(11-12):1045–1076.
13. McCulloch WS, Pitts W. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*. 1943;5(4):115–133. [PubMed]
14. Pawlak Z. Rough sets. *International Journal of Computer & Information Sciences*. 1982;11(5):341–356.
15. Wilder JW. New concepts in technical trading systems. Trend Research, 1978.